

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,818	08/06/2001	Shoji Hizatate	330-238	7888
75	90 04/16/2003			
Nixon & Vanderhye 8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road			EXAMINER	
			HESS, BRUCE H	
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	6
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Λ (
BEST AVAILABLE COPY Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/890, 818 Hizatate et al Examiner Group Art Unit
	Bruce Hess 1774
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
P riod for R ply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st 	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Lult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Latute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Inalling date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2-24-	03(Election)
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 	ot for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1 - 48	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) 18-27 and 4	5-48 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Ø Claim(s) 4	
% Claim(s) 1-3, 5-17 an	is/are rejected.
Claim(s) 3-17 ax	isvare rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ※ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority is acknowledgement. None of the:	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The June 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority is all ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been in	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ※ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority is acknowledgement. None of the:	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objec	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No. shave been received
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ※ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received:	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No. shave been received
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ※ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority is All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been in Certified copies of the priority documents have been in this national stage application from the International	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No. as have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17:2(a))

Office Acti n Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

 $\hfill\square$ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat \hfill nt Drawing R \hfill view, PTO-948

Part of Paper No.

□ Oth r.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,818

Art Unit: 1774

- 1. The restriction/election requirement of Paper No. 4 is adhered to and made final for the reasons of record.
- 2. Claim 4 is allowed.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by any of Usami et al. (USP 4,644,376), Nakamura et al. (JP 01-141786) or Nakamura et al. (JP 02-145560).
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 13-16, 38-40 and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of the patents to Usami et al., Nakamura et al. '786 or Nakamura et al. '560.

These patents all teach heat-sensitive recording material which can employ N-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-p-toluene sulfonamide as a color developer (see column 7, lines 9 and 10). The Nakamura et al. patents additionally suggest N-(4-hydroxyphenyl)benzenesulfonamide a as color developer. Use of a mixture of sulfonamide color developers which perform the same function would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art in the absence of unexpected. In re

Crockett, 126 USPQ 186. USP of the position isomer [i.e., N-(4-hydroxyphenyl) -p-toluenesulfonamide] of Usami et al's N-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-p-toluenesulfonamide would have been obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in this art. In re Mehta, 146 USPQ 284. Finally, the experimental modification of the prior art in order of ascertain optimum operating conditions (e.g., determine

Application/Control Number: 09/890,818

Art Unit: 1774

the composition of the paper substrate) fails to render applicants claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results.

5. Claims 7-12, 17, 28-37 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of the patents to Usami et al. or Nakamura et al. in view of applicants' statement of the prior art.

The primary references apply as per the preceding paragraph. Applicants acknowledge in their specification that pigment/adhesive undercoatings, UV stabilizers, phosphoric esters and aromatic isocyanates are conventional materials employed in heat-sensitive recording material. Use of these conventional materials for their concomitant function in the heat-sensitive recording material of any of the primary references would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art in the absence of unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce Hess whose telephone number is (703) 308-2402. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7115 for regular communications and (703) 308-7115 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,818

Art Unit: 1774

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

B. Hess/mn April 15, 2003

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

Brue Jen